arXiv:1612.02919v1 [math.RA] 9 Dec 2016

# A ring for proposal of a nontrivial Dedekind domain

Vaibhav Pandey, Sagar Shrivastava & B.Sury

## Rings that engage analysts

Analytic properties of function spaces over the real and the complex fields are different in some ways. This reflects in algebraic properties which are different at times and similar in some other respects. For instance, the ring of real-valued continuous functions on a closed interval like $[0,1]$ behaves similarly to the corresponding ring of complex-valued functions; they depend only on the topology of $[0,1]$. The ring $\mathbf{R}[X,Y]/(X^2+Y^2-1)$ of real-valued polynomial functions on the unit circle is not a unique factorization domain - witness the equation

$$\cos^2(t) = (1+\sin(t))(1-\sin(t)).$$

On the other hand, the ring $\mathbf{C}[X,Y]/(X^2+Y^2-1) \cong \mathbf{C}[X+iY, 1/(X+iY)]$ is a principal ideal domain. Again, the rings of convergent power series (over either of these fields) with radius of convergence larger than some number $\rho$ is a Euclidean domain (and hence, a principal ideal domain) - this can be seen by using for a Euclidean "norm" function, the function which counts zeroes (with multiplicity) in the disc $|z| \leq \rho$ - see [1].

In this note, we consider the rings $C_{an}(S^1;\mathbf{R})$ of real-analytic functions on the unit circle $S^1$ which are real-valued and the corresponding ring $C_{an}(S^1;\mathbf{C})$ of analytic functions that are complex-valued. We will see that the latter is a principal ideal domain while the former is a Dedekind domain which is not a principal ideal domain - the class group having order 2.

## 1 Maximal ideals are points

The proof of the fact alluded to is exactly the same as the corresponding proof (that is well known) for the ring of continuous functions on a closed interval.

**Lemma 1.** *Maximal ideals of $C_{an}(S^1, \mathbf{R})$ and of $C_{an}(S^1, \mathbf{C})$ are points.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the compactness of $S^1$.
Indeed, firstly for each point $p \in S^1$, the ideal

$$\mathfrak{m}_p := \{f : f(p) = 0\}$$

is maximal as the quotient is isomorphic to a field. Let us observe that every maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ is of the form $\mathfrak{m}_p$ for some $p$ in $S^1$. If not, then we can find functions $f_i$ in $\mathfrak{m}$ which do not vanish in a neighborhood of $p_i$ for each $p_i$ in $S^1$ by continuity. These neighborhoods cover $S^1$. By compactness of $S^1$, finitely many of these neighborhoods cover it.
Call these $f_1, \cdots, f_n$. Then, the function $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \overline{f_i} f_i$ lies in $\mathfrak{m}$ and is a unit (as it does not vanish anywhere). This is a contradiction as maximal ideals are proper. □

**Lemma 2.** *The ring $C_{an}(S^1, \mathbf{C})$ of complex-valued analytic functions on $S^1$ is a PID.*

*Proof.* Clearly, the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_p$ is the principal ideal generated by $z - p$. Hence, every finite product

$$\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_k}^{a_k}$$

is principal. We show that every non-zero ideal is such a finite product. Any non-zero analytic function has only finitely many zeroes as zeroes are isolated and $S^1$ is compact. Hence, if $I$ is any non-zero ideal, it has only finitely many common zeroes, say $p_1, \cdots, p_k$. Let $a_i$ be the smallest positive integer such that every element of $I$ has a zero of order at least $a_i$ at $p_i$. Hence, for each $0 \neq f \in I$, we have $f = \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} (z - p_i)^{a_i} \right) g$ for some analytic function $g$. In other words, $I$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_k}^{a_k}$. Then,

$$J := \{f / \prod_{i=1}^{k} (z - p_i)^{a_i} : f \in I\}$$

is an ideal. If $J$ were a proper ideal, it would be contained in some $\mathfrak{m}_p$. If $p \notin \{p_1, \cdots, p_k\}$, then $I \subset \mathfrak{m}_p$ which contradicts the fact that $p_1, \cdots, p_k$ are the only common roots of $I$. Hence $p = p_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq k$. But, if $f_i \in I$ has order exactly $a_i$ at $p_i$, then $f_i / \prod_{j=1}^{k} (z - p_j)^{a_j}$ cannot vanish at $p_i$, a contradiction. Hence $J$ is the unit ideal and so,

$$I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_k}^{a_k}.$$

Hence $I$ is principal. So, $C_{an}(S^1, \mathbf{C})$ is a PID (and hence, a Dedekind domain). □

## 2 Ideals in $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$

Let us recall that a real-analytic function in $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ is a function such that $f \circ p_1$ and $f \circ p_{-1}$ are analytic where $p_1(x) = e^{2i\pi x}$ on $(0, 2\pi)$ and $p_{-1}(x) = e^{2i\pi x}$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$. Recall we observed that maximal ideals are points.

**Lemma 3.** *For any two maximal ideals $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}, \mathfrak{m}_{p_2}$ (including the case $p_1 = p_2$), their product is principal.*

*Proof.* Clearly, $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}$ can be generated by the analytic function $f_{p_1,p_2}(x) = \cos(x - \frac{(p_1+p_2)}{2}) - \cos(\frac{p_1-p_2}{2})$. Note that for $p_1 = p_2 = p$, the function $f_{p,p}(x) = 2\sin^2(\frac{x-p}{2})$. □

This immediately implies:

**Corollary 1.** *An ideal $I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2}\cdots\mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$ is principal if $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ is even.*

**Lemma 4.** *An ideal $I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2}\cdots\mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$ is not principal if $a_1 + \ldots + a_n$ is odd.*

*Proof.* We first show that maximal ideals in the ring $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ are not principal. This is obvious because identifying $S^1$ with $\mathbf{R}/2\pi\mathbf{Z}$, the number of zeroes of any analytic function on $S^1$ counted with multiplicity is even - this is simply because of the intermediate value theorem. Now, if $I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2}\cdots\mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$ with $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ is odd, then $I = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}(g)$ by the even case. If $I = (f)$, then $f \in g\mathfrak{m}_{p_1} \subset (g)$ so that $f/g$ is analytic. But then $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1} = (f/g)$, which is a contradiction, as $f/g$ has an even number of zeroes counting multiplicity, while $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}$ has only a common zero at $p_1$. □

Finally, we have the factorization result:

**Theorem 1.** *Every non-zero proper ideal in the ring $C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ is of the form $\mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2}\cdots\mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$ for points $p_1, \cdots, p_n$.*

Before proving this theorem, we observe the very interesting fact:

**Corollary 2.** *$C_{an}(S^1; \mathbf{R})$ is a Dedekind domain which has class number 2.*

*Proof.* This immediately follows from Theorem 1, Corollary 1 and Lemma 4. □

Finally, let us prove the theorem asserting that all ideals are products of maximal ideals.

*Proof.* Consider any proper, nonzero ideal $\mathcal{I}$. Let $\{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ be the common zeros of $\mathcal{I}$ - as we observed above, this is finite, as every non-zero analytic function on $S^1$ has only finitely many zeroes. For each $k \leq n$, let $a_k$ be minimal among the orders of zeroes of elements of $\mathcal{I}$ at $p_k$. Then, it is clear that

$$\mathcal{I} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}.$$

We will show that $I = \prod_{k=1}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{p_k}^{a_k}$.

Let us first assume that $a_1 + \ldots + a_n$ is even.
We first get hold of an element $f$ of $\mathcal{I}$ whose order of zero at $p_k$ is $a_k$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. This is not difficult because $\mathcal{I}$ contains elements $f_k$ vanishing at $p_k$ with order $a_k$, and we may consider a suitable linear combination $g_1 f_1 + \cdots + g_n f_n$. This function $f$ may have other zeroes $\neq p_k$; we wish to change $f$ such that the new element is in $I$, has zeroes of order $a_k$ at $p_k$ and has no other zeroes. This is accomplished as follows.
As we observed in the beginning, every analytic function changes signs an even number of times by the intermediate value theorem. Let us write $0 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_n < 2\pi$. In some of the intervals $[p_i, p_{i+1}]$ (among $[p_1, p_2], [p_2, p_3], \cdots, [p_n, p_1]$), the function $f$ changes sign an even number of times and in others, it changes sign an odd number of times. The latter happens in an even number of intervals $[p_i, p_{i+1}]$. If we select some analytic function $g$ which has simple zeroes at some interior point of each of these latter intervals and no other zeroes, then the function $fg \in \mathcal{I}$ and has the property that $fg$ vanishes at each $p_i$ exactly to the order $a_i$ and has an even number of sign changes in each interval $(p_1, p_2), (p_2, p_3), \cdots, (p_n, p_1)$. It also changes signs at even number of the $p_i$'s. At these even number of $p_i$'s, there is an analytic function $h$ with simple zeroes and no other roots. We may multiply the analytic function $h$ by an element $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$ that has no zeroes in any of the open intervals $(p_i, p_{i+1})$ (we may square and assume the value of $\phi$ is positive in each of these open intervals). By changing the sign of $h$ if necessary, we may assume it has the same sign as $f$ around each $p_i$. Then, $h\phi \in \mathcal{I}$, has simple zeroes at $p_i$'s, no other zeroes and has the sign of $f$ in each open interval $(p_i, p_{i+1})$. Since continuous ( hence analytic) functions are bounded on a compact set, therefore, for a large constant $c$, the function $fg + ch\phi \in \mathcal{I}$ and has zeroes of order $a_i$ at $p_i$ and no other zeroes. Hence $\mathcal{I} \supseteq \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{m}_{p_i}^{a_i}$ which shows their equality.

Now, assume that $a_1 + \cdots + a_n$ is odd. Let $f \in \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1} \mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2} \cdots \mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}$. Since it must have an even number of zeros (counting multiplicity), it must have a zero $q \notin \{p_1, \ldots, p_n\}$ or it has a zero of order $> a_k$ at some $p_k$ (in which case we put $q = p_k$). Let $\mathcal{J} = \{g \in \mathcal{I} | g(q) = 0\}$ (in case $q = p_k$, we take

$g$ to have zeroes at $p_k$ with multiplicity $> a_k$). By the even case treated already, $\mathcal{J} = \mathfrak{m}_{p_1}^{a_1}\mathfrak{m}_{p_2}^{a_2}\cdots\mathfrak{m}_{p_n}^{a_n}\mathfrak{m}_q$. Thus $f$ belongs to the right hand side. Thus $f \in \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{I}$. This completes the proof. □

We end with a remark. L.Carlitz proved ( [2]) that Dedekind domains with class number 2 are *half-factorial domains*; viz., different irreducible factorizations of elements must have the same length.

# References


[1] : C.U.Jensen, *Some curiosities of rings of analytic functions*, Journal of Pure & Applied Algebra, Vol. 38 (1985) pp.277-283.

[2] : L. Carlitz, *A characterization of algebraic number fields with class number two*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 11 (1960), pp.391-392.